



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/030,327	05/13/2002	Stephane Grellier	09669/012001	5849	
22511	7590 10/06/2005		EXAM	INER	
OSHA LIANG L.L.P. 1221 MCKINNEY STREET			WINTER,	WINTER, JOHN M	
SUITE 2800	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON, TX 77010			3621		
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/200:	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/	/	
1	ŧ	į
		,

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/030,327	GRELLIER, STEPHANE
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	John M. Winter	3621
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address
·	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS le, cause the application to become ABAND and date of this communication, even if timely 150 Miles 2005.	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). y filed, may reduce any
3) Since this application is in condition for allow:		·
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	I, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-8 and 10-16 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by to drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Application of the properties of	cation No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/26/2001		nary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/030,327

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-16 have been examined.

Response to Arguments

The applicants arguments filed on July 18,2005 have been been fully considered. The pending claims are rejected in view of the reference to Drake (US Patent 6,006,328).

See following rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Drake (US Patent 6,006,328)

in view of Rowney (US Patent 5,987,132).

As per claim 1,

Drake discloses a method for managing a plurality of commands in a plurality of application files of a microchip card, each command of the plurality of commands in each application file of the plurality of application files being associated with an access condition that a user must meet so that the plurality of commands are executed. wherein the method comprises the following steps: '

providing a table in the memory of the microchip card for referencing access conditions met by the user to the plurality of commands of the plurality of application files: when a command in one of the plurality of application files has been accessed by the user. referencing the access condition associated with the command as met by the user in the memory table, and when a user orders execution of the command in one of the plurality of application files checking whether the access condition associated with the command is referenced in the memory table. and initiating execution of the command in case the access condition is referenced in the memory table. (Figure 2, columkn 9, lines 3-35)

Application/Control Number: 10/030,327 Page 3

Art Unit: 3621

Drake discloses the claimed invention except for "a plurality of applications", It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use two applications, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

Claim 9 is in parallel with claim 1 and is rejected for at least the same reasons.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-8, 10- 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Examiners note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Winter whose telephone number is (571) 272-6713. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30am-5:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached at (571) 272-6712. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application/Control Number: 10/030,327

Art Unit: 3621

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-7687

[Official communications; including After Final communications labeled

Page 4

"Box AF"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to the Examiner in the Knox Building, 50 Dulany St. Alexandria, VA.

JMW

September 30, 2005

SUPERVISORY PAPENT EXAMINATI

COSS RETURN YEAR LONGOET